

Business Rules Solutions Blueprinting Business Knowledge *Knowledge: Concept Models*

Miscommunication plaguing your initiatives? Remember that people usually already have concepts of things in their minds. The problem is that those concepts aren't shaped the same. And they're not harmonized. Think of the challenge of miscommunication as essentially needing to redesign concepts to get everyone on the same page – that is, to create shared understanding.

A concept model provides the essential structure you need for the redesign. It provides the basis for creating a robust business vocabulary with business-friendly definitions. Get quickly introduced to the industry-wide motivation for concept models in Ron's column this month.

Extracted from *Business Knowledge Blueprints: Enabling Your Data to Speak the Language of the Business*, by Ronald G. Ross, 2020
<http://brsolutions.com/business-knowledge-blueprints.html>

Businesses today face a host of challenges that demand a new approach, not just to processes and data but to the business knowledge that lies behind them. Perhaps the driving force is hard-set siloes. Or poor data quality. Or IT's disconnect from the language the business uses. Or lack of a big picture or common vocabulary. Maybe all the above.

Recognition is growing rapidly that traditional data design techniques are also inadequate for the larger challenges of integrated digital business, including highly-customized products, machine intelligence, and software ontologies.

The common factor in meeting these challenges? It's what the company knows – *business knowledge*. That knowledge isn't limited to structured data – it applies equally to textual business communications

(‘unstructured data’). How did structured data and ‘unstructured data’ ever get disconnected? Business text matters!

Business knowledge is more complicated – I prefer to say *far richer* – than most realize. It requires a blueprint, which must be developed deliberately through clarification and synthesis. The goal is to create a shared, structured understanding of concepts.

What is a *concept*? The dictionary¹ defines it simply as *something conceived in the mind – a thought, idea, notion*. For business what’s critical is whether a concept is *shared*.

People usually already have concepts of things in their minds – you typically don’t design concepts from scratch. The problem is that those concepts aren’t shaped the same. And they’re not harmonized. Think of the problem as essentially *redesigning* concepts to get everyone on the same page – that is, to create *shared* understanding.

By providing essential *structure* for the design, a concept model is the core component of the business knowledge blueprint you need. A concept model provides the basis for creating a robust business vocabulary with business-friendly definitions. We define *concept model* as

follo

ws:

<i>concept model</i> : a set of concepts structured according to the relations among them

A concept model should be primarily of, by and for business people. To emphasize the point, perhaps we should say *business concept model*. Even when we drop *business* from the term and say just *concept model*, remember *business* is always implicit.

Excerpted from new the book just released: Business Rules: Management and Execution
<https://www.amazon.com/dp/0986321486>

Business rules cover a very broad space. Across the entire space, however, you can be sure about one central idea – business logic should not be buried in procedural programming languages. Call it *rule independence*.

¹ Merriam-Webster Unabridged

Why is rule independence important to you? Because rules entangled in procedural code won't ever be agile. Rules change all the time – and in a digital world the pace of change is always accelerating. How you can stay on top of it is the central question in business agility.

That's not to say business logic is simple. Just the opposite. It can often be quite complex. So why entangle it in procedures and code, which must attend to all sorts of other complex concerns, ranging from platforming, messaging, synchronization, data access, user interfaces, and more? Why mix them up?!

So, business rules are all about *disentanglement* – a natural separation of concerns. What advantages does that have? For one thing, you can involve subject matter experts directly in the validation and verification of the business logic before it is implemented. For another, you can often empower the business side to make changes directly to the business logic after deployment. In other words, you can give a degree of control back to the business side – and in the process, free up IT resources for other work.

Let's not forget about compliance. Often, they are among the strongest supporters of the business rule approach. Why? Because with business rules, results can be directly traceable. If someone (such as a business partner or regulator) wants to know *why* a business result was produced, instead of program code or (usually sparse) documentation, you can inspect the actual business rules that produced the results. Fast, efficient, trustworthy.

To understand all the areas that business rules cover requires looking at the things that business processes must (or should) address.

- *Decisions.* Suppose you want dynamic pricing, taking into account multiple factors about the customer, the product, current demand and supply, size of the order, etc. What better way to organize the business logic than as a set of rules?! You can analyze the structure of the decision using an appropriate decision model, then express the rules in some decision table(s) with clear outcomes. Recognizing that decisions are a separable part of process models has been one of the most important contributions of the business rule approach.
- *Case Management.* Businesses often organize activities around concepts that have predictable stages or states. A classic example is the medical case of a patient. The same thinking, however, pops up in a great many situations – for example, products developed in stages, orders that progress through predictable states, complaints, inquiries, break-downs, purchases, etc. What better way to coordinate allowable movement between states or stages than by explicit rules expressing what constraints must be satisfied?! Managing cases effectively as a distinct aspect of processes would prove difficult or impossible without rules.
- *Data quality.* If you want high data quality, it must reflect business results produced correctly in the first place. Business rules help ensure that happens by

guiding business behavior. Think about it this way: Processes only ensure you do the correct things; business rules ensure you do those things *correctly*. So, business rules always play either a direct or an indirect role in data quality matters – there’s no way to escape it. Business rules give the prominent profile to data quality matters absent in processes alone.

- *Digitalization*. Even in today’s world, many gaps remain in processes where manual intervention is still required, sometimes as basic as simply moving data from one form or format to another. In the past few years, robotic process automation (RPA) has stepped up to this challenge by offering effective rule-based solutions.

Not everything business rules address, however, are so easily cast into a pure-process perspective. Nor should they be. Consider these areas:

- *Requirements*. Analysts and developers face several key challenges. One is ensuring that models are robust and complete. Put simply, diagrams are just diagrams without rules. Another is user stories. It’s been said that user stories represent merely the tip of the iceberg with respect to requirements. What’s the other 90%? A significant percentage is *business rules*.
- *Communication*. In a day and age when IT is so central to running business, it’s simply unacceptable that communication gaps still exist between the business side and IT. How can these gaps be closed in a manner that does full service to the complexity and richness of what business people know in their heads? And to the vocabulary they use to talk about it? *Business rules*.
- *Knowledge Retention*. In traditional companies, retirement of key subject matter experts is a hard reality. In newer companies, the turn-over rate of workers is unprecedented. How do you capture core knowledge in such form that it is not lost when workers walk out the door? *Business rules*.

In thinking about all these areas that business rules address, it’s hard to escape the insight that business rules are a pure form of explicit knowledge. They shape business behavior and guide decisions – independently of (but in cooperation with) procedures and platforms. What else could they be but pure business knowledge?

In a day and age when machine learning (ML) and AI are all the rage, it’s fair to ask why business rules still matter. Will ML and AI make business rules obsolete? If not, where is the dividing line between them?

There’s no doubt that we’re just at the beginning of a wild and exciting ride for how machines will address knowledge. In certain respects, however, the shape of things to come is already clear. And the answer is *no*, ML and AI will *not* make business rules obsolete. Let me explain why.

Today's ML capabilities are purely statistical. In fact, that's the very reason for the unbelievable progress AI has made over the last decade – the realization that many problems do *not* actually require symbolic representation (think *words*). A bot driving a car does not need to explain why it didn't hit a pedestrian – it just needs not to hit them. A bot listening to my voice commands does not need to explain why it 'knows' it's talking to me – it just needs to be sure it's me. A bot playing a game of chess or Go does not need to explain its moves – it just needs to win.

And that brings us to the bottom line for business rules – they always tell you *why*. Whenever humans are in the loop – and there are explicit laws and obligations and rules to worry about – you'll always need to know *why*. That's what business rules are ultimately about – the *why*.

I could go on, but enough about the ideas behind business rules and their role in the industry. I'm pretty sure you're reading this book to find out what world-class practitioners have actually achieved in their businesses with business rules. So, let's get to it!

You're going to be hugely impressed by the range of industries and problem spaces addressed by the case studies in this book. I know I am. I've gotten all sorts of new ideas from them – and I'm sure you will too. Enjoy!

Author



Ronald G. Ross is Co-Founder and Principal of Business Rule Solutions, LLC (www.BRSolutions.com). BRS provides workshops, consulting services, publications, and methodology supporting business analysis, business rules, business vocabulary, and rule management. His popular public seminars on business rules and business analysis, the first on business rules (starting in 1996) and the longest-running in the industry, are given through AttainingEdge (www.AttainingEdge.com). At BRS, Mr. Ross co-develops Proteus®, its landmark business analysis and business rules methodology, which features numerous innovative techniques including the popular RuleSpeak® (available free through www.BRCommunity.com). These are the latest offerings in a 30-year career that has consistently featured creative, business-driven solutions. Mr. Ross also serves as Executive Editor of www.BRCommunity.com and its flagship on-line publication, *Business Rules Journal*. He is a regular columnist for the *Journal's Commentary* section which also features John Zachman, Chris Date, Terry Halpin, and Roger Burlton. *BRCommunity.com*, hosted and sponsored by BRS, is a vertical community for

professionals working with business rules and related areas. Mr. Ross was formerly Editor of the *Data Base Newsletter* from 1977 to 1998. Mr. Ross is recognized internationally as the "father of business rules." He has served as Co-Chair of the annual Business Rules Forum Conference since 1997. He was a charter member of the Business Rules Group (BRG) in the 1980s, and an editor of the two landmark BRG papers, "The Business Motivation Model: *Business Governance in a Volatile World*" (2000, revised 2005) and the "Business Rules Manifesto" (2003). He is also active in OMG standards development for business rules and business models. Mr. Ross is the author of eight professional books. His newest are: *Business Rule Concepts* (2005), a second edition of his popular, easy-to-read 1998 handbook, and *Principles of the Business Rule Approach*, Addison-Wesley (2003), featuring the business rationale and opportunity for business rules. An earlier work, *The Business Rule Book* (1994, 1997), was the seminal work in the field. Mr. Ross received his M.S. in information science from Illinois Institute of Technology, and his B.A. from