

Steps to Governance 02/02/2016 Stacey Wright

During my tenure of helping organizations gain greater value out of their BPM investment through adding multiple applications to run and grow their business, it became apparent that while the concepts of governance are widely appreciated, the tactical steps on how to approach the topic are not straightforward. I regularly hear questions such as:

- Why do we need this group/role?
- What is the expected responsibility of this group/role? How is it unique from the others?
- What level of effort is needed to sustain this role?
- What type of individual should be filling this role?
- How do these roles work together to ensure success?

Through this series of Articles, I aim to answer all of these questions by highlighting the primary groups needed in order to provide oversight, guidance, and stability during the rapid growth of your BPM practice, as well as a closer look at a standard structure to reach that goal.

While I will mostly be focusing on large programs with many applications servicing multiple business needs both in progress and deployed in production, the concepts I will cover are critical to success even from the micro view of a single project's lifecycle. The diagram below provides a peek of how each group is orchestrated to create a harmonious whole, even from this small scale:

	Project Identification	Project Analysis and Acceptance	Project Execution / Control	Project Closure / Handoff	Operation
Program Management	Facilitates Resource development Coordinates infrastructure and growth planning		Owns change management Standardizes Testing Controls release cadence, dependencies		Assesses resource skills on maturity model Plans platform upgrades
Portfolio Management	Maintains pipeline / roadmap	 Evaluates priority, ROI Scopes project time and costs 	Enforces SDLC Manages project finances		Supports application (responsive)
COE		Determines technology fit	Reviews architecture Enforces best practices		Recommends platform upgrades, infrastructure updates Evolves best practices
Sustainability Management				Assumes ownership of the implementation	Monitors & communicates ROI Proactively researches areas of improvement Performs small to midrange improvements
Governance Committee	 Evangelizes the use of BPM Owns Executive communication 	Documents anticipated business value	Aids in conflict resolution between product owners		

Your company's corporate culture has its own needs which will feed into your specific definition of governance and alter the roles and responsibilities outlined. No two organizations end up exactly the same. My aim in these Articles is not to necessarily be prescriptive – that would be impossible without knowing the specific personalities and needs within your BPM landscape. Instead, these Articles should be considered guidance on the responsibilities that typically need to be addressed when tackling the governance question.

Author Stacey Wright



Stacey Wright is a Program Manager at Macedon Technologies, the leading US delivery partner of Appian. She has helped guide many organizations on their way to a bright, agile future utilizing BPM, and is passionate about making clients' lives measurably easier through Business Process Management. Stacey was a key author of Appian's recommended project methodology, and has presented at Appian's premiere annual event, Appian World.

stacey.wright@macedontechnologies.com